

II. REMARKS


Applicant respectfully submits that contrary to the Examiner's contention that "the claims do not preclude or distinguish a structure that can maintain its shape without the airflow from the fan versus one without", claim 41, for example, clearly recites a support member that supports the inhabitable configuration of the collapsible structure, independent of the airflow of the climate control unit. Moreover, the Examiner contends that the Bishop et al. reference "does not state that one cannot use plastic films and other impermeable sheets for the material of construction." Applicant respectfully points out that the Bishop et al. reference Conversely, emphatically states at column 4, lines 2-15, that "the weave of the fabric used must allow free passage of air so that a child can breath without difficulty through the fabric...[t]hat requirement **totally precludes** the use of plastic films and other impermeable sheets" (emphasis added). It is for the foregoing reasons it is respectfully submitted that Claims 41-68 are neither anticipated by Bishop et al. nor unpatentably obvious over Bishop et al. in view of Heisler et al. and/or Laiti and are, therefore, in condition for allowance.

IV CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Claims 41-68 are pending and it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims in this application are in condition for allowance. Favorable action on this application is, therefore, solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 3, 2007


Tony D. Alexander
Registration No. 44,501

TECHNOLOGY LEGAL COUNSEL LLC
Post Office Box 1728
Evans, Georgia 30809-1728
(706) 650-7070